good. But it is an idea that deserves to be considered and it deserves to be considered as part of this particular discussion. I wish it weren't. It will delay things somewhat. We could get on with our business, but to keep the body operating smoothly and fairly, I think we need to do that. Senator Landis has asked for a second or two of time and I'd like to yield to him.

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Landis.

SENATOR LANDIS: Members of the Legislature, I agree completely with Senator Withem. The Warner amendment is bad policy, but it's certainly germane to the bill, it's germane to the bill.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Landis. Is there anyone else who wishes to discuss the motion? Seeing none, Senator Warner, do you wish to close on your motion? He waives closing so we will now proceed to vote on the overruling of the previous Chair. The motion will take 23 votes to be successful. All those in favor of overruling the previous Chair signify by voting aye, opposed vote no. Have you all voted? Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 26 ayes, 1 may, Mr. President, on the motion to overrule the Chair.

SPEAKER BAACK: The Chair is overruled. We will now proceed with Senator Warner's amendment. Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, initially I'd like to make a couple of points. Last Friday, for example, in Revenue Committee there was a bill which we were discussing or rather had a hearing on, dealt with a form of tax exemptions and a part of those tax exemptions was provisions where the state had some responsibility in terms of examinations of businesses and some investor could conceivably rely upon those examinations in the process of determining whether it was a good investment or not. It struck me as I read the bill that there was one section that specifically said the state shall have no responsibility on the validity of the, financial validity of the investment by virtue of its examinations. And the point is that government is different than the private sector in these areas. Government, in many cases, in the area as particularly of inspections, examinations has a different sense of liability it seems to me than does the private sector. At best an inspection is only valid the day it was done, perhaps